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Abstract: We study the solutions for fundamental string rotating in a background generated by a $1+1$ dimensional intersection of two orthogonal stacks of fivebranes in type IIB string theory. We show the existence of magnon like solutions for the string moving simultaneously in the two spheres in this background and find the relevant dispersion relation among the various conserved charges.
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## 1. Introduction and summary

AdS/CFT correspondence [1] relates the spectrum of free strings on $\mathrm{AdS}_{5} \times \mathrm{S}^{5}$ with that of the spectrum of operator dimensions in the $\mathcal{N}=4$ super Yang-Mills (SYM) in four dimensions. This mapping is highly nontrivial and challenging. A better understanding of this mapping will be to look at both the gauge and gravity theories at certain limits such as large angular momentum limit and then compare the spectrum. In the understanding the gauge/gravity duality, an interesting observation is that the $\mathcal{N}=4$ SYM theory can be described by the integrable spin chain model where the anomalous dimension of the gauge invariant operators were found [2]-8]. It was further noticed that the string theory also has as integrable structure in the semiclassical limit and the anomalous dimension in the $\mathcal{N}=4 \mathrm{SYM}$ can be derived from the relation between conserved charges of the worldsheet solitonic string solution on the dual string theory on $\mathrm{AdS}_{5} \times \mathrm{S}^{5}$. In this connection, Hofman and Maldacena (HM) 9] considered a special limit where the problem of determining the spectrum of both sides becomes rather simple. The spectrum consists of an elementary excitation known as magnon which propagate with a conserved momentum $p$ along the long spin chain. In the dual formulation, the most important ingredient is semiclassical string solutions, which can be mapped to long trace operator with large energy and large angular momenta. Once this connection was established, there was a lot of work devoted to understanding of this correspondence (see for example [10]-[26]).

To understand the AdS/CFT like correspondence in a more general background, it is interesting to find out dispersion relation among various conserved charges in case of classical rotating strings in the gravity side and then to look for the corresponding operators in the dual theory. For example, the magnon like dispersion relation in NS5-brane background was considered in 27.

An interesting background configuration is the I-brane background 28, which is the intersection of two stacks of NS5-branes in type II string theory on $R^{1,1}$. When all the five branes are coincident, the near horizon geometry of this configuration is given by $28{ }^{1}$

$$
\begin{equation*}
R^{2,1} \times R_{\phi} \times \mathrm{SU}(2)_{k_{1}} \times \mathrm{SU}(2)_{k_{2}} \tag{1.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

[^0]where $R_{\phi}$ is one combination of two radial directions away from two sets of NS5-branes, $k_{1}$ and $k_{2}$ are the number of NS5-branes in each stack. The coordinates of $R^{2,1}$ are $x^{0}, x^{1}$ and one more combination of two radial directions. The two $\mathrm{SU}(2)$ s corresponding to two angular three spheres corresponding to $\left(R^{4}\right)_{2345}$ and $\left(R^{4}\right)_{6789}$. The very fact that (1.1) is an exact solution to string equations of motion allows us to obtain information about the intersecting brane system more, which is not accessible via gauge theory. As it is clear from (1.1) the exhibits a higher symmetry than the full intersecting brane configuration. In particular, the combination of radial directions away from the intersection that enters $R^{2,1}$ appears symmetrically with the other spatial directions, and the background has a higher Poincare symmetry, $\operatorname{ISO}(2,1)$, than the expected $\operatorname{ISO}(1,1)$. The holographic mapping between field theory living on the I-brane and the corresponding bulk theory was studied in [28]. ${ }^{2}$ On the other hand it is also well known from the study of AdS/CFT correspondence that it is possible to derive more information about the boundary CFT theory from the study of the semiclassical string and D1-brane configurations in the bulk of $A d S_{5} .{ }^{3}$

Motivated by the recent developments in the AdS/CFT correspondence, we will investigate the classical string dynamics in the I-brane background to understand the rotating string solutions. These string solutions correspond to multispin string solutions on the I-brane background and solitonic string solution in the worldsheet point of view. Due to the lack of sufficient knowledge about the theory on the worldvolume of the I-brane we can't compare them with the dual theory. However the knowledge about the semiclassical rotating string on the I-brane certainly gives information about the possible nature of operators in some dual theory.

In this background, the solitonic string solution which are static and uniformly wrapping the two transverse spheres, and the dispersion relation among various conserved charges were studied in 43]. Here, we will generalize this solution to the rotating one in the transverse spheres and find the dispersion relation among various charges. To do so, we will first solve the equations of motion for a string rotating simultaneously on both the spheres and then by using the Virasoro constraints the dispersion relation will be expressed in terms of various conserved charges that the background obeys in general. Usually, in case of the giant magnon on $R \times S^{3}$, the energy and one of the angular momenta for one giant magnon are infinite but their difference is finite. In the I-brane background, on the other hand, which has also $R \times S^{3}$ or $R \times S^{3} \times S^{3}$, the string soliton is composed of multiple magnon-like solutions and one of them, we call this magnon-like solution, has a similar shape to the giant magnon on $R \times S^{3}$. However, the dispersion relation for magnon-like one is different in that it contains additional linear momenta in two radial directions and is described by the finite conserved charges. We would like to note that on the I-brane background all conserved charges are regularized, so have finite values. If we choose the range of the world sheet string coordinate as $-\infty<\sigma<\infty$ like HM case 9] or $-\pi / 2<\sigma<\pi / 2$ , the string soliton becomes a combination of infinite magnon-like solutions or finite numbers. For the closed string case, since $-\pi<\sigma<\pi$ the solitonic string is given by the finite

[^1]numbers of the magnon-like solutions.
We would like to mention that in general getting magnon/spike solutions in I-brane background is rather cumbersome. However, we will present in this paper, a parameter space of solutions where there exists a magnon like shape when we restrict the motion of the string along both the spheres. It would be certainly interesting to find out more general rotating string solutions in this backgrounds. A greater challenge will be to find out the dual operators in the worldvolume theory which correspond to the semiclassical string solutions presented in this paper.

The rest of the paper is organized as follows. In section 2, we present the background solution corresponding to the intersection of two five-branes on $R^{1,1}$ in type IIB string theory and study the equations of motion and Virasoro constraints of the fundamental string rotating simultaneously along both the spheres. Section-3 devoted to the study the rotating string solution interpreted as a single magnon like solution while the motion is restricted to only one sphere. We present the corresponding dispersion relation along various charges and interpret that solution as a single magnon solution. Further we present a more general solution when the string moves simultaneously along both the spheres. We analyze the results in a particular parameter space of solutions. Finally in section-4, we present our conclusions.

## 2. F-string in the background of I-brane

In this section we will study the dynamics of fundamental string in the background studied in [28]. Namely, we consider the intersection of two stack of NS5-branes on $R^{1,1}$. We have $k_{1}$ number of NS5-branes extended in $(0,1,2,3,4,5)$ directions and another set of $k_{2}$ number of NS5-branes extended in ( $0,1,6,7,8,9$ ) directions. Let us define

$$
\begin{align*}
& \mathbf{y}=\left(x^{2}, x^{3}, x^{4}, x^{5}\right), \\
& \mathbf{z}=\left(x^{6}, x^{7}, x^{8}, x^{9}\right) . \tag{2.1}
\end{align*}
$$

We have $k_{1}$ NS5-branes localized at the points $\mathbf{z}_{n} n=1, \ldots, k_{1}$, and $k_{2}$ NS5-branes localized at the points $\mathbf{y}_{a}, a=1 \ldots, k_{2}$. Every pairs of fivebranes from different sets intersect at different point $\left(\mathbf{y}_{a}, \mathbf{z}_{n}\right)$. The supergravity background corresponding to this configuration takes the form

$$
\begin{align*}
\Phi(\mathbf{z}, \mathbf{y}) & =\Phi_{1}(\mathbf{z})+\Phi_{2}(\mathbf{y}), \\
g_{\mu \nu} & =\eta_{\mu \nu},(\mu, \nu=0,1), \\
g_{\alpha \beta} & =e^{2\left(\Phi_{2}-\Phi_{2}(\infty)\right)} \delta_{\alpha \beta}, \\
\mathcal{H}_{\alpha \beta \gamma} & =-\epsilon_{\alpha \beta \gamma \delta} \partial^{\delta} \Phi_{2},(\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \delta=2,3,4,5), \\
g_{p q} & =e^{2\left(\Phi_{1}-\Phi_{1}(\infty)\right)} \delta_{p q}, \\
\mathcal{H}_{p q r} & =-\epsilon_{p q r s} \partial^{s} \Phi_{1}, \quad(p, q, r, s=6,7,8,9), \tag{2.2}
\end{align*}
$$

where $\Phi$ on the first line means the dilaton and where

$$
\begin{align*}
& e^{2\left(\Phi_{1}-\Phi_{1}(\infty)\right)}=1+\sum_{n=1}^{k_{1}} \frac{l_{s}^{2}}{\left|\mathbf{z}-\mathbf{z}_{n}\right|^{2}}, \\
& e^{2\left(\Phi_{2}-\Phi_{2}(\infty)\right)}=1+\sum_{a=1}^{k_{2}} \frac{l_{s}^{2}}{\left|\mathbf{y}-\mathbf{y}_{a}\right|^{2}} . \tag{2.3}
\end{align*}
$$

Our goal is to find solutions for rotating string in this background when $\mathbf{z}_{n}=\mathbf{y}_{a}=0$. To simplify our notation let us denote

$$
\begin{equation*}
e^{2\left(\Phi_{1}-\Phi_{1}(\infty)\right)}=H_{1}(\mathbf{z}), \quad e^{2\left(\Phi_{2}-\Phi_{2}(\infty)\right)}=H_{2}(\mathbf{y}), \tag{2.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

where for coincident branes we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
H_{1}=1+\frac{k_{1} l_{s}^{2}}{|\mathbf{z}|^{2}}, \quad H_{2}=1+\frac{k_{2} l_{s}^{2}}{|\mathbf{y}|^{2}} . \tag{2.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let us now consider the probe brane in the near horizon limit where

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{k_{1} l_{s}^{2}}{|\mathbf{z}|^{2}} \gg 1, \quad \frac{k_{2} l_{s}^{2}}{|\mathbf{y}|^{2}} \gg 1 \tag{2.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

so that we can write

$$
\begin{equation*}
H_{1}=\frac{\lambda_{1}}{r_{1}^{2}}, \quad \lambda_{1}=k_{1} l_{s}^{2}, \quad H_{2}=\frac{\lambda_{2}}{r_{2}^{2}}, \quad \lambda_{2}=k_{2} l_{s}^{2} . \tag{2.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then the metric takes the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
d s^{2}=-d t^{2}+\frac{\lambda_{1}}{r_{1}^{2}} d r_{1}^{2}+\frac{\lambda_{2}}{r_{2}^{2}} d r_{2}^{2}+\lambda_{1} d \Omega_{1}^{(3)}+\lambda_{2} d \Omega_{2}^{(3)}, \tag{2.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $d \Omega_{1}^{(3)}$ and $d \Omega_{2}^{(3)}$ correspond to the line elements on the unit sphere. To describe them better we introduce the following coordinates

$$
\begin{align*}
x^{2}+i x^{3} & =r_{1} \cos \theta_{1} e^{i \phi_{1}}, & x^{4}+i x^{5}=r_{1} \cos \theta_{1} e^{i \psi_{1}}, \\
x^{6}+i x^{7} & =r_{2} \cos \theta_{2} e^{i \phi_{2}}, & x^{8}+i x^{9}=r_{2} \cos \theta_{2} e^{i \psi_{2}}
\end{align*}
$$

so that

$$
\begin{array}{lll}
d \Omega_{1}^{(3)}=d \theta_{1}^{2}+\sin ^{2} \theta_{1} d \phi_{1}^{2}+\cos ^{2} \theta_{1} d \psi_{1}^{2}, & & \\
b_{\phi_{1} \psi_{1}}=\lambda_{1} \cos ^{2} \theta_{1}, & 0<\theta_{1}<\frac{\pi}{2}, & 0=\phi_{1}, \psi_{1}<2 \pi, \\
d \Omega_{2}^{(3)}=d \theta_{2}^{2}+\sin ^{2} \theta_{2} d \phi_{2}^{2}+\cos ^{2} \theta_{2} d \psi_{2}^{2}, & & \\
b_{\phi_{2} \psi_{2}}=\lambda_{2} \cos ^{2} \theta_{2}, & 0<\theta_{2}<\frac{\pi}{2}, & 0=\phi_{2}, \psi_{2}<2 \pi . \tag{2.10}
\end{array}
$$

As usual our starting point is the Polyakov form of the string action in the background (2.8)

$$
\begin{align*}
S= & -\frac{1}{4 \pi \alpha^{\prime}} \int_{-\pi / 2}^{\pi / 2} d \sigma d \tau\left[\sqrt{-\gamma} \gamma^{\alpha \beta} g_{M N} \partial_{\alpha} x^{M} \partial_{\beta} x^{N}-e^{\alpha \beta} \partial_{\alpha} x^{M} \partial_{\beta} x^{N} b_{M N}\right]+ \\
& +\frac{1}{4 \pi} \int_{-\pi / 2}^{\pi / 2} d \sigma d \tau \sqrt{-\gamma} R \Phi, \tag{2.11}
\end{align*}
$$

where $\gamma^{\alpha \beta}$ is a world-sheet metric and $R$ is its Ricci scalar. Further, $e^{\alpha \beta}$ is defined as $e^{01}=-e^{10}=1$. Finally, the modes $x^{M}, M=0, \ldots, 9$ parameterize the embedding of the string in the background (2.8). The variation of the action (2.11) with respect to $x^{M}$ implies following equations of motion

$$
\begin{gather*}
-\frac{1}{4 \pi \alpha^{\prime}} \sqrt{-\gamma} \gamma^{\alpha \beta} \partial_{K} g_{M N} \partial_{\alpha} x^{M} \partial_{\beta} x^{N}+\frac{1}{2 \pi \alpha^{\prime}} \partial_{\alpha}\left[\sqrt{-\gamma} \gamma^{\alpha \beta} g_{K M} \partial_{\beta} x^{M}\right]- \\
-\frac{1}{2 \pi \alpha^{\prime}} \partial_{\alpha}\left[\epsilon^{\alpha \beta} \partial_{\beta} x^{M} b_{K M}\right]+\frac{1}{4 \pi \alpha^{\prime}} \epsilon^{\alpha \beta} \partial_{\alpha} x^{M} \partial_{\beta} x^{N} \partial_{K} b_{M N}+\frac{1}{4 \pi} \partial_{K} \Phi \sqrt{-\gamma} R=0 . \tag{2.12}
\end{gather*}
$$

Finally the variation of the action with respect to the metric implies the constraints

$$
\begin{align*}
-\frac{4 \pi}{\sqrt{-\gamma}} \frac{\delta S}{\delta \gamma^{\alpha \beta}}= & \frac{1}{\alpha^{\prime}} g_{M N} \partial_{\alpha} x^{M} \partial_{\beta} x^{N}-R_{\alpha \beta}+ \\
& +\left(\nabla_{\alpha} \nabla_{\beta} x^{M}\right) \partial_{M} \Phi+\left(\partial_{\alpha} x^{M} \partial_{\beta} x^{N}\right) \partial_{M} \partial_{N} \Phi- \\
& -\frac{1}{2} \gamma_{\alpha \beta}\left(\frac{1}{\alpha^{\prime}} \gamma^{\gamma \delta} \partial_{\gamma} x^{M} \partial_{\delta} x^{N} g_{M N}-R \Phi+2 \nabla^{\alpha} \nabla_{\alpha} \Phi\right) . \tag{2.13}
\end{align*}
$$

As the first step let us introduce two modes $\rho_{1}$ and $\rho_{2}$ defined through the relations

$$
\begin{equation*}
r_{1}=e^{\frac{\rho_{1}}{\sqrt{\lambda_{1}}}}, \quad r_{2}=e^{\frac{\rho_{2}}{\sqrt{\lambda_{2}}}} . \tag{2.14}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then, following [28] we introduce two modes $r, y$ through the relation

$$
\begin{equation*}
Q r=\frac{1}{\sqrt{\lambda_{1}}} \rho_{1}+\frac{1}{\sqrt{\lambda_{2}}} \rho_{2}, \quad Q y=\frac{1}{\sqrt{\lambda_{2}}} \rho_{1}-\frac{1}{\sqrt{\lambda_{1}}} \rho_{2}, \tag{2.15}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
Q=\frac{1}{\sqrt{\lambda}}, \frac{1}{\lambda}=\frac{1}{\lambda_{1}}+\frac{1}{\lambda_{2}} . \tag{2.1.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Note that the inverse transformations of (2.15) take the forms

$$
\begin{align*}
& \rho_{1}=\frac{1}{\sqrt{\lambda_{1}+\lambda_{2}}}\left(\sqrt{\lambda_{1}} y+\sqrt{\lambda_{2}} r\right), \\
& \rho_{2}=\frac{1}{\sqrt{\lambda_{1}+\lambda_{2}}}\left(\sqrt{\lambda_{1}} r-\sqrt{\lambda_{2}} y\right) . \tag{2.17}
\end{align*}
$$

Note that this result implies that the dilaton is a function of $r$ only

$$
\begin{align*}
\Phi & =\Phi_{1}+\Phi_{2}=\frac{1}{2}\left(H_{1}+H_{2}\right)+\Phi_{1}(\infty)+\Phi_{2}(\infty)= \\
& =-\frac{1}{\sqrt{\lambda_{1}}} \rho_{1}-\frac{1}{\sqrt{\lambda_{2}}} \rho_{2}+\Phi_{0}=-Q r+\Phi_{0} . \tag{2.18}
\end{align*}
$$

With the help of the variables $r, y$ the action for string in $I$-brane background takes the form

$$
\begin{align*}
S=-\frac{1}{4 \pi \alpha^{\prime}} & \int_{-\pi / 2}^{\pi / 2} d \sigma d \tau\left[\sqrt { - \gamma } \gamma ^ { \alpha \beta } \left(-\partial_{\alpha} t \partial_{\beta} t+\partial_{\alpha} r \partial_{\beta} r+\partial_{\alpha} y \partial_{\beta} y+\right.\right. \\
& \left.+g_{m n} \partial_{\alpha} x^{m} \partial_{\beta} x^{n}-e^{\alpha \beta} \partial_{\alpha} x^{m} \partial_{\beta} x^{n} b_{m n}\right]-\frac{1}{4 \pi} \int_{-\pi / 2}^{\pi / 2} d \sigma d \tau \sqrt{-\gamma} R Q r, \tag{2.19}
\end{align*}
$$

where $x^{m, n}$ label angular coordinates corresponding to $S_{1}^{3}, S_{2}^{3}$ respectively.
Looking at the form of the background (2.8) and (2.10) we observe that the action (2.19) is invariant under following transformations of fields

$$
\begin{align*}
t^{\prime}(\tau, \sigma) & =t(\sigma, \tau)+\epsilon_{t} \\
y^{\prime}(\tau, \sigma) & =y(\tau, \sigma)+\epsilon_{y} \\
\psi_{1}^{\prime}(\tau, \sigma) & =\psi_{1}(\tau, \sigma)+\epsilon_{\psi_{1}} \\
\psi_{2}^{\prime}(\tau, \sigma) & =\psi_{2}(\tau, \sigma)+\epsilon_{\psi_{2}} \\
\phi_{1}^{\prime}(\tau, \sigma) & =\phi_{1}(\tau, \sigma)+\epsilon_{\phi_{1}} \\
\phi_{2}^{\prime}(\tau, \sigma) & =\phi_{2}(\tau, \sigma)+\epsilon_{\phi_{2}} \tag{2.20}
\end{align*}
$$

where $\epsilon_{t}, \epsilon_{y}, \epsilon_{\phi_{1}}, \epsilon_{\phi_{2}}, \epsilon_{\psi_{1}}, \epsilon_{\psi_{2}}$ are constants. Then it is straightforward to determine corresponding conserved charges

$$
\begin{align*}
P_{t} & =-\frac{1}{2 \pi \alpha^{\prime}} \int_{-\pi / 2}^{\pi / 2} d \sigma \sqrt{-\gamma} \gamma^{\tau \alpha} \partial_{\alpha} t, \\
P_{\psi_{1}} & =\frac{1}{2 \pi \alpha^{\prime}} \int_{-\pi / 2}^{\pi / 2} d \sigma\left[\sqrt{-\gamma} \gamma^{\tau \alpha} g_{\psi_{1} \psi_{1}} \partial_{\alpha} \psi_{1}-\partial_{\sigma} \phi_{1} b_{\phi_{1} \psi_{1}}\right], \\
P_{\psi_{2}} & =\frac{1}{2 \pi \alpha^{\prime}} \int_{-\pi / 2}^{\pi / 2} d \sigma\left[\sqrt{-\gamma} \gamma^{\tau \alpha} g_{\psi_{2} \psi_{2}} \partial_{\alpha} \psi_{2}-\partial_{\sigma} \phi_{2} b_{\phi_{2} \psi_{2}}\right], \\
P_{\phi_{1}} & =\frac{1}{2 \pi \alpha^{\prime}} \int_{-\pi / 2}^{\pi / 2} d \sigma\left[\sqrt{-\gamma} \gamma^{\tau \alpha} g_{\psi_{1} \psi_{1}} \partial_{\alpha} \psi_{1}+\partial_{\sigma} \psi_{1} b_{\phi_{1} \psi_{1}}\right], \\
P_{\phi_{2}} & =\frac{1}{2 \pi \alpha^{\prime}} \int_{-\pi / 2}^{\pi / 2} d \sigma\left[\sqrt{-\gamma} \gamma^{\tau \alpha} g_{\psi_{2} \psi_{2}} \partial_{\alpha} \psi_{2}+\partial_{\sigma} \psi_{2} b_{\phi_{2} \psi_{2}}\right], \\
P_{y} & =\frac{1}{2 \pi \alpha^{\prime}} \int_{-\pi / 2}^{\pi / 2} d \sigma\left[\sqrt{-\gamma} \gamma^{\tau \alpha} g_{y y} \partial_{\alpha} y\right] . \tag{2.21}
\end{align*}
$$

Note that $P_{t}$ is related to the energy as $P_{t}=-E$. In 43] the homogeneous string and D1-brane solutions in I-brane background have been studied. ${ }^{4}$ It was argued that it is necessary to find the configuration when string moves on both two spheres simultaneously. For that reason we have to consider an ansatz where string moves simultaneously on both

[^2]the spheres $S_{1}^{3}$ and $S_{2}^{3}$ as follows
\[

$$
\begin{align*}
t & =t(\tau), & r & =r(\tau), \\
\theta_{1} & =\theta_{1}(m), & \psi_{1} & =\omega_{1} \tau+g_{1}(m),  \tag{2.22}\\
\theta_{2} & =\theta_{2}(m), & \psi_{2} & =\omega_{2} \tau+g_{2}(m),
\end{align*}
$$ r(\tau), \phi_{1}=\nu_{1} \tau+h_{1}(m), ~=\nu_{2} \tau+h_{2}(m), ~ l
\]

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
m=\alpha \sigma+\beta \tau \tag{2.23}
\end{equation*}
$$

and we also consider solution in the conformal gauge $\gamma^{\alpha \beta}=\eta^{\alpha \beta}$. In this gauge the constraints (2.13) that now follow from the variation of the action (2.19) take simpler forms

$$
\begin{align*}
& T_{\sigma \sigma}=-4 \pi \frac{\delta S}{\delta \gamma^{\sigma \sigma}}=\frac{1}{2 \alpha^{\prime}}\left(g_{M N} \partial_{\sigma} x^{M} \partial_{\sigma} x^{N}+g_{M N} \partial_{\tau} x^{M} \partial_{\tau} x^{N}\right)-Q \partial_{\tau}^{2} \rho \\
& T_{\tau \tau}=-4 \pi \frac{\delta S}{\delta \gamma^{\tau \tau}}=\frac{1}{2 \alpha^{\prime}}\left(g_{M N} \partial_{\sigma} x^{M} \partial_{\sigma} x^{N}+g_{M N} \partial_{\tau} x^{M} \partial_{\tau} x^{N}\right)-Q \partial_{\sigma}^{2} \rho \\
& T_{\tau \sigma}=-4 \pi \frac{\delta S}{\delta \gamma^{\sigma \tau}}=\frac{1}{\alpha^{\prime}} g_{M N} \partial_{\sigma} x^{M} \partial_{\tau} x^{N}-Q \partial_{\sigma} \partial_{\tau} \rho \tag{2.24}
\end{align*}
$$

Further, in conformal gauge the equations of motion for $t, y, r$ take the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
\partial_{\alpha}\left[\eta^{\alpha \beta} \partial_{\beta} t\right]=0, \quad \partial_{\alpha}\left[\eta^{\alpha \beta} \partial_{\beta} r\right]=0, \quad \partial_{\alpha}\left[\eta^{\alpha \beta} \partial_{\beta} y\right]=0 \tag{2.25}
\end{equation*}
$$

that have solutions

$$
\begin{equation*}
t=\kappa \tau, \quad r=v_{r} \tau+r_{0}, \quad y=v_{y} \tau+y_{0} \tag{2.26}
\end{equation*}
$$

for constants $\kappa, v_{y}, v_{r}, r_{0}, y_{0}$.
Now we are going to study the dynamics of fundamental strings on both three $S_{1}^{3}, S_{2}^{3}$. We start then with the equations of motion for $\phi_{1}$ and $\psi_{1}$. It can be easily shown that these equations imply two differential equations

$$
\begin{align*}
h_{1}^{\prime} & =\frac{1}{\lambda_{1} \sin ^{2} \theta_{1}\left(\alpha^{2}-\beta^{2}\right)}\left(C_{1}-\lambda_{1} \alpha \omega_{1} \cos ^{2} \theta_{1}+\lambda_{1} \beta \nu_{1} \sin ^{2} \theta_{1}\right) \\
g_{1}^{\prime} & =\frac{1}{\left(\alpha^{2}-\beta^{2}\right) \lambda_{1} \cos ^{2} \theta_{1}}\left(D_{1}+\lambda_{1} \beta \omega_{1} \cos ^{2} \theta_{1}+\lambda_{1} \nu_{1} \alpha \cos ^{2} \theta_{1}\right) \tag{2.27}
\end{align*}
$$

where $h^{\prime}(m)=\frac{d h}{d m}$ and where $C_{1}, D_{1}$ are integration constants. In the same way we consider the equation of motion for $\psi_{2}, \phi_{2}$ with the result

$$
\begin{align*}
h_{2}^{\prime} & =\frac{1}{\left(\alpha^{2}-\beta^{2}\right) \lambda_{2} \sin ^{2} \theta_{1}}\left(C_{2}+\lambda_{2} \sin ^{2} \theta_{2} \beta \nu_{2}-\omega_{2} \alpha \lambda_{2} \cos ^{2} \theta_{2}\right) \\
g_{2}^{\prime} & =\frac{1}{\left(\alpha^{2}-\beta^{2}\right) \lambda_{2} \cos ^{2} \theta_{2}}\left(D_{2}+\lambda_{2} \beta \omega_{2} \cos ^{2} \theta_{2}+\lambda_{2} \nu_{2} \alpha \cos ^{2} \theta_{2}\right) \tag{2.28}
\end{align*}
$$

where again $C_{2}, D_{2}$ are integration constants. Let us now start to solve the Virasoro constraints for the given model. The constraint $T_{\tau \sigma}=0$ implies

$$
\begin{align*}
& g_{\phi_{1} \phi_{1}} \alpha h_{1}^{\prime}\left(\nu_{1}+\beta h_{1}^{\prime}\right)+g_{\psi_{1} \psi_{1}} \alpha g_{1}^{\prime}\left(\omega_{1}+\beta g_{1}^{\prime}\right)+g_{\theta_{1} \theta_{1}} \alpha \beta \theta_{1}^{\prime 2}+ \\
& +g_{\phi_{2} \phi_{2}} \alpha h_{2}^{\prime}\left(\nu_{2}+\beta h_{2}^{\prime}\right)+g_{\psi_{2} \psi_{2}} \alpha g_{2}^{\prime}\left(\omega_{2}+\beta g_{2}^{\prime}\right)+g_{\theta_{2} \theta_{2}} \alpha \beta \theta_{2}^{\prime 2}=0 \tag{2.29}
\end{align*}
$$

On the other hand the Virasoro constraints $T_{\tau \tau}=T_{\sigma \sigma}=0$ take the form

$$
\begin{align*}
0= & g_{\phi_{1} \phi_{1}}\left[\left(\nu_{1}+\beta h_{1}^{\prime}\right)^{2}+\alpha^{2} h_{1}^{\prime 2}\right]+g_{\psi_{1} \psi_{1}}\left[\left(\omega_{1}+\beta g_{1}^{\prime}\right)^{2}+\alpha^{2} g_{1}^{\prime 2}\right]+g_{\theta_{1} \theta_{1}}\left(\alpha^{2}+\beta^{2}\right) \theta_{1}^{\prime 2} \\
& +g_{\phi_{2} \phi_{2}}\left[\left(\nu_{2}+\beta h_{2}^{\prime}\right)^{2}+\alpha^{2} h_{2}^{\prime 2}\right]+g_{\psi_{2} \psi_{2}}\left[\left(\omega_{2}+\beta g_{2}^{\prime}\right)^{2}+\alpha^{2} g_{2}^{\prime 2}\right]+g_{\phi_{2} \phi_{2}}\left(\alpha^{2}+\beta^{2}\right) \theta_{2}^{\prime 2} \\
& -\kappa^{2}+v_{r}^{2}+v_{y}^{2} . \tag{2.30}
\end{align*}
$$

Now, if we combine these constraints as $-\frac{\left(\alpha^{2}+\beta^{2}\right)}{\alpha \beta} T_{\sigma \tau}+T_{\tau \tau}$ we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
0=-\nu_{1} C_{1}-\omega_{1} D_{1}-\nu_{2} C_{2}-\omega_{2} D_{2}+\beta\left(-\kappa^{2}+v_{r}^{2}+v_{y}^{2}\right) \tag{2.31}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then if we use (2.27), (2.28) together with (2.31) in the constraint $T_{\tau \tau}=0$ we obtain

$$
\begin{align*}
\left(\beta^{2}+\right. & \left.\alpha^{2}\right)\left(\lambda_{1} \theta_{1}^{\prime 2}+\lambda_{2} \theta_{2}^{2}\right) \\
= & \frac{\left(\alpha^{2}+\beta^{2}\right)^{2}}{\left(\alpha^{2}-\beta^{2}\right)^{2}}\left(\kappa^{2}-v_{r}^{2}-v_{y}^{2}\right)-\frac{\left(\alpha^{2}+\beta^{2}\right) \alpha^{2}}{\left(\alpha^{2}-\beta^{2}\right)^{2}}\left(g_{\phi_{1} \phi_{1}} \nu_{1}^{2}+g_{\psi_{1} \psi_{1}} \omega_{1}^{2}\right)\left(\frac{g_{\phi_{1} \phi_{1}} g_{\psi_{1} \psi_{1}}+b_{\phi_{1} \psi_{1}}^{2}}{g_{\phi_{1} \phi_{1}} g_{\psi_{1} \psi_{1}}}\right) \\
& -\frac{\alpha^{2}+\beta^{2}}{\left(\alpha^{2}-\beta^{2}\right)^{2}}\left[\frac{C_{1}^{2}}{g_{\phi_{1} \phi_{1}}}+\frac{D_{1}^{2}}{g_{\psi_{1} \psi_{1}}}++2 \alpha \frac{b_{\phi_{1} \psi_{1}}}{g_{\psi_{1} \psi_{1} g_{\phi_{1} \phi_{1}}}}\left(D_{1} \nu_{1} g_{\phi_{1} \phi_{1}}-C_{1} \omega_{1} g_{\psi_{1} \psi_{1}}\right)\right] \\
& -\frac{\left(\alpha^{2}+\beta^{2}\right) \alpha^{2}}{\left(\alpha^{2}-\beta^{2}\right)^{2}}\left(g_{\phi_{2} \phi_{2}} \nu_{2}^{2}+g_{\psi_{2} \psi_{2}} \omega_{2}^{2}\right)\left(\frac{g_{\phi_{2} \phi_{2}} g_{\psi_{2} \psi_{2}}+b_{\phi_{2} \psi_{2}}^{2}}{g_{\phi_{2} \phi_{2}} g_{\psi_{2} \psi_{2}}}\right)- \\
& -\frac{\alpha^{2}+\beta^{2}}{\left(\alpha^{2}-\beta^{2}\right)^{2}}\left[\frac{C_{2}^{2}}{g_{\phi_{2} \phi_{2}}}+\frac{D_{2}^{2}}{g_{\psi_{2} \psi_{2}}}+2 \alpha \frac{b_{\phi_{2} \psi_{2}}}{g_{\psi_{2} \psi_{2} g_{\phi_{2} \phi_{2}}}}\left(D_{2} \nu_{2} g_{\phi_{2} \phi_{2}}-C_{2} \omega_{2} g_{\psi_{2} \psi_{2}}\right)\right] \tag{2.32}
\end{align*}
$$

This differential equation determines the most general evolutions of $\theta$ 's. As it is clear from the above, solving for general $\theta$ is quite hard. Hence, in what follows we will analyze it in some special situations.

### 2.1 Magnon solutions in $R \times S_{1}^{3}$

Let us start with the situation when $\theta_{2}^{\prime}=h_{2}^{\prime}=g_{2}^{\prime}=0, \omega_{2}=\nu_{2}=0$. For our convenience, we set $D_{1}=\lambda_{1} d, C_{1}=\lambda_{1} c$ and $\kappa^{2}-v_{r}^{2}-v_{y}^{2}=\lambda_{1} \gamma$. Then, the above equation takes the form

$$
\begin{align*}
\theta_{1}^{\prime 2}=\frac{1}{\left(\alpha^{2}-\beta^{2}\right)^{2}} & {\left[\left(\alpha^{2}+\beta^{2}\right) \gamma-\alpha^{2}\left(\nu_{1}^{2}-\omega_{1}^{2}\right)-2 \alpha\left(d \nu_{1}+c \omega_{1}\right)\right.} \\
& \left.-\frac{\left(\alpha \omega_{1}-c\right)^{2}}{\sin ^{2} \theta_{1}}-\frac{d^{2}}{\cos ^{2} \theta_{1}}\right] \tag{2.33}
\end{align*}
$$

Let us write the above differential equation in the following form

$$
\begin{equation*}
\theta_{1}^{\prime 2}=A^{2}-\frac{B^{2}}{\sin ^{2} \theta_{1}}-\frac{d^{\prime 2}}{\cos ^{2} \theta_{1}} \tag{2.34}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{align*}
A^{2} & =\frac{1}{\left(\alpha^{2}-\beta^{2}\right)^{2}}\left[\left(\alpha^{2}+\beta^{2}\right) \gamma-\alpha^{2}\left(\nu_{1}^{2}-\omega_{1}^{2}\right)-2 \alpha\left(d \nu_{1}+c \omega_{1}\right)\right] \\
B^{2} & =\frac{1}{\left(\alpha^{2}-\beta^{2}\right)^{2}}\left[\left(\alpha \omega_{1}-c\right)^{2}\right],{d^{\prime}}^{2}=\frac{d^{2}}{\left(\alpha^{2}-\beta^{2}\right)^{2}} \tag{2.35}
\end{align*}
$$

Then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\theta_{1}^{\prime}=A \frac{\sqrt{\left(\sin ^{2} \theta_{1}-\sin ^{2} \theta_{\min }\right)\left(\sin ^{2} \theta_{\max }-\sin ^{2} \theta_{1}\right)}}{\sin \theta_{1} \cos \theta_{1}} \tag{2.36}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{align*}
& \sin ^{2} \theta_{\max }=\frac{\left(A^{2}+B^{2}-d^{\prime 2}\right)+\sqrt{\left(A^{2}+B^{2}-d^{\prime 2}\right)^{2}-4 A^{2} B^{2}}}{2 A^{2}}, \\
& \sin ^{2} \theta_{\min }=\frac{\left(A^{2}+B^{2}-d^{\prime 2}\right)-\sqrt{\left(A^{2}+B^{2}-d^{\prime 2}\right)^{2}-4 A^{2} B^{2}}}{2 A^{2}} . \tag{2.37}
\end{align*}
$$

Let us try to find the solution when $\sin ^{2} \theta_{\max }=1$. This occurs when

$$
\begin{equation*}
D_{1}=0, \quad \text { with } \sin \theta_{\min }=\frac{B}{A} \tag{2.38}
\end{equation*}
$$

The final form of $\theta_{1}^{\prime}$ is

$$
\begin{equation*}
\theta_{1}^{\prime}=A \frac{\sqrt{\sin ^{2} \theta_{1}-\sin ^{2} \theta_{\min }}}{\sin \theta_{1}} \tag{2.39}
\end{equation*}
$$

Note that the range of $\theta_{1, \text { min }} \leq \theta_{1} \leq \frac{\pi}{2}$ corresponds to the half of a magnon-like solution. For the convenience, we call one element of the solitonic string solution having the magnon shape as a magnon-like solution. Actually, the solution obtained here is a combination of these magnon-like solution. So the number of the magnon-like solution contained in the solitonic string solution is given by the followings. If the range of the string world sheet is given by $-\frac{\pi}{2} \leq \sigma \leq \frac{\pi}{2}$ for an open string, the number of magnon-like solution is determined by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\pi=\int_{-\pi / 2}^{\pi / 2} d \sigma=\frac{2 n}{\alpha} \int_{\theta_{1, m i n}}^{\pi / 2} \frac{d \theta_{1}}{\theta_{1}^{\prime}} \tag{2.40}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $n$ means the number of the magnon-like solutions. After calculating the last equation, the number of the magnon-like solutions is given by $n=\alpha A$.

From now on, we will concentrate on the conserved quantities for magnon-like solutions which will give a dispersion relation for one magnon-like solution. Using the definitions of the conserved charges (2.21) they can be rewritten as the integral form over $\theta_{1}$

$$
\begin{align*}
P_{t} & =\frac{1}{\pi \alpha^{\prime}} \frac{\kappa}{\alpha} I,  \tag{2.41}\\
P_{y} & =\frac{1}{\pi \alpha^{\prime}} \frac{v_{y}}{\alpha} I,  \tag{2.42}\\
P_{r} & =\frac{1}{\pi \alpha^{\prime}} \frac{v_{r}}{\alpha} I,  \tag{2.43}\\
P_{\phi_{1}} & =-\frac{\lambda_{1}}{\pi \alpha^{\prime}} \frac{1}{\alpha^{2}-\beta^{2}}\left(\frac{\beta}{\alpha} c+\alpha \nu_{1}\right) I,  \tag{2.44}\\
P_{\psi_{1}} & =-\frac{\lambda_{1}}{\pi \alpha^{\prime}} \frac{c-\alpha \omega_{1}}{\alpha^{2}-\beta^{2}}\left(I-I^{\prime}\right), \tag{2.45}
\end{align*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{align*}
I & =\int_{\theta_{\min }}^{\pi / 2} d \theta_{1} \frac{1}{\theta_{1}^{\prime}}=\frac{\pi}{2 A} \\
I^{\prime} & =\int_{\theta_{\min }}^{\pi / 2} d \theta_{1} \frac{1}{\theta_{1}^{\prime} \sin ^{2} \theta_{1}}=\frac{\pi}{2 B} \tag{2.46}
\end{align*}
$$

The angle difference in the $\phi_{1}$-direction is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Delta \phi_{1}=\frac{2}{\alpha^{2}-\beta^{2}}\left[\left(c-\alpha \omega_{1}\right) I^{\prime}+\left(\alpha \omega_{1}+\beta \nu_{1}\right) I\right] . \tag{2.47}
\end{equation*}
$$

To obtain the dispersion relation, we first consider the following quantity

$$
\begin{align*}
P_{t}^{2}-P_{r}^{2}-P_{y}^{2} & =\frac{1}{\left(\pi \alpha^{\prime} \alpha\right)^{2}}\left(\kappa^{2}-v_{r}^{2}-v_{y}^{2}\right) I^{2} \\
& =-\frac{1}{\left(\pi \alpha^{\prime} \alpha\right)^{2}} \frac{\lambda_{1} \nu_{1} c}{\beta} I^{2} \tag{2.48}
\end{align*}
$$

where the Virasoro constraints are used in the last equation. From the definitions of charges, $\nu_{1} c I^{2}$ in the above equation can be determined in terms of other charges and the angle difference

$$
\begin{equation*}
-\frac{\lambda_{1} \nu_{1} c}{\beta} I^{2}=\frac{\left(\pi \alpha^{\prime} \alpha\right)^{2}}{\lambda_{1}}\left[P_{\phi_{1}}^{2}+\frac{\beta^{4}-\alpha^{4}}{2 \alpha^{2} \beta^{2}} P_{\phi_{1}}^{2}-\frac{2 \beta}{\alpha} P_{\phi_{1}}\left(P_{\psi_{1}}-T_{1} \Delta \phi_{1}\right)+\left(P_{\psi_{1}}-T_{1} \Delta \phi_{1}\right)^{2}\right] . \tag{2.49}
\end{equation*}
$$

Finally, we obtain the dispersion relation

$$
\begin{align*}
P_{t}^{2}-P_{r}^{2}-P_{y}^{2} & =\frac{1}{\lambda_{1}}\left[P_{\phi_{1}}^{2}+\frac{\beta^{4}-\alpha^{4}}{2 \alpha^{2} \beta^{2}} P_{\phi_{1}}^{2}-\frac{2 \beta}{\alpha} P_{\phi_{1}}\left(P_{\psi_{1}}-T_{1} \Delta \phi_{1}\right)+\left(P_{\psi_{1}}-T_{1} \Delta \phi_{1}\right)^{2}\right] \\
& =\frac{1}{\lambda_{1}}\left[\left(1-\frac{\alpha^{4}+\beta^{4}}{2 \alpha^{2} \beta^{2}}\right) P_{\phi_{1}}^{2}+\left(\frac{\beta}{\alpha} P_{\phi_{1}}+T_{1} p-P_{\psi_{1}}\right)^{2}\right] \tag{2.50}
\end{align*}
$$

where $T_{1}=\frac{\lambda_{1}}{2 \pi \alpha^{\prime}}$ and we have identified the angle difference $\Delta \phi_{1}$ with the world sheet momentum $p$.

### 2.2 Magnon solutions on $R \times S_{1}^{3} \times S_{2}^{3}$

The equations of motion for $\phi_{i}$ and $\psi_{i}(i=1,2)$ are summarized as

$$
\begin{align*}
h_{i}^{\prime} & =\frac{1}{\lambda_{i}\left(\alpha^{2}-\beta^{2}\right) \sin ^{2} \theta_{i}}\left(C_{i}-\alpha \lambda_{i} \omega_{i} \cos ^{2} \theta_{i}+\beta \lambda_{i} \nu_{i} \sin ^{2} \theta_{i}\right), \\
g_{i}^{\prime} & =\frac{1}{\lambda_{i}\left(\alpha^{2}-\beta^{2}\right) \cos ^{2} \theta_{i}}\left(D_{i}+\alpha \lambda_{i} \nu_{i} \cos ^{2} \theta_{i}+\beta \lambda_{i} \omega_{i} \cos ^{2} \theta_{i}\right) . \tag{2.51}
\end{align*}
$$

(2.32) becomes

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{i=1}^{2} \lambda_{i} \theta_{i}^{\prime 2}=\frac{\alpha^{2}+\beta^{2}}{\left(\alpha^{2}-\beta^{2}\right)^{2}}\left(\kappa^{2}-v_{r}^{2}-v_{y}^{2}\right)-\sum_{i=1}^{2} K_{i}\left(\theta_{i}\right) \tag{2.52}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{align*}
K_{i}\left(\theta_{i}\right)=\frac{1}{\left(\alpha^{2}-\beta^{2}\right)^{2}}\left[\frac { \lambda _ { i } \alpha ^ { 2 } } { \operatorname { s i n } ^ { 2 } \theta _ { i } } \left(\nu_{i}^{2} \sin ^{2} \theta_{i}+\right.\right. & \left.\omega_{i}^{2} \cos ^{2} \theta_{i}\right)+\left[\frac{C_{i}^{2}}{\lambda_{i} \sin ^{2} \theta_{i}}+\frac{D_{i}^{2}}{\lambda_{i} \cos ^{2} \theta_{i}}\right. \\
& \left.\left.+\frac{2 \alpha}{\sin ^{2} \theta_{i}}\left(D_{i} \nu_{i} \sin ^{2} \theta_{i}-C_{i} \omega_{i} \cos ^{2} \theta_{i}\right)\right]\right] . \tag{2.53}
\end{align*}
$$

Without loss of generality, we can set

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lambda_{i} \theta_{i}^{\prime 2}+K_{i}\left(\theta_{i}\right) \equiv \lambda_{i} \Gamma_{i} \tag{2.54}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\Gamma_{i}$ are some constants satisfying $\lambda_{1} \Gamma_{1}+\lambda_{2} \Gamma_{2}=\frac{\alpha^{2}+\beta^{2}}{\left(\alpha^{2}-\beta^{2}\right)^{2}}\left(\kappa^{2}-v_{r}^{2}-v_{y}^{2}\right)$.
When we set $D_{i}=0$ and $C_{i}=\lambda_{i} c_{i}, \theta_{i}^{\prime}$ are given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\theta_{i}^{\prime}=A_{i} \frac{\sqrt{\left(\sin ^{2} \theta_{i}-\sin ^{2} \theta_{i, \min }\right)}}{\sin \theta_{i}} \tag{2.55}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{align*}
A_{i}^{2} & =\Gamma_{i}-\frac{\alpha^{2}}{\left(\alpha^{2}-\beta^{2}\right)^{2}}\left(\nu_{i}^{2}-\omega_{i}^{2}\right)+\frac{2 \alpha c_{i} \omega_{i}}{\left(\alpha^{2}-\beta^{2}\right)^{2}} \\
\sin ^{2} \theta_{i, \min } & =\frac{\left(\alpha \omega_{i}-c_{i}\right)^{2}}{A_{i}^{2}} \tag{2.56}
\end{align*}
$$

In this background, the number of the magnon-like solution in each sphere is given by the similar relation in eq. (2.40)

$$
\begin{equation*}
\pi=\frac{2 n_{i}}{\alpha} \int_{\theta_{i, m i n}}^{\pi / 2} \frac{d \theta_{i}}{\theta_{i}^{\prime}}=\frac{n_{i} \pi}{\alpha A_{i}} \tag{2.57}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $n_{i}$ means the number of the magnon-like solution in $i$-th sphere. From the above equation, the number of the magnon becomes $n_{i}=\alpha A_{i}$. If we set the ratio between magnon-like numbers as $r \equiv \frac{n_{2}}{n_{1}}$, then this ratio is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
r=\frac{A_{2}}{A_{1}} \tag{2.58}
\end{equation*}
$$

From now on, we set a magnon-like solution as one in the first sphere, which corresponds to $r$ magnon-like solutions in the second sphere.

The conserved charges for a magnon-like solution are

$$
\begin{align*}
P_{t} & =\frac{1}{\pi \alpha^{\prime}} \frac{\kappa}{\alpha} I_{1},  \tag{2.59}\\
P_{y} & =\frac{1}{\pi \alpha^{\prime}} \frac{v_{y}}{\alpha} I_{1},  \tag{2.60}\\
P_{r} & =\frac{1}{\pi \alpha^{\prime}} \frac{v_{r}}{\alpha} I_{1},  \tag{2.61}\\
P_{\phi_{i}} & =-\frac{\lambda_{i}}{\pi \alpha^{\prime}} \frac{1}{\alpha^{2}-\beta^{2}}\left(\frac{\beta}{\alpha} c_{i}+\alpha \nu_{i}\right) I_{i},  \tag{2.62}\\
P_{\psi_{i}} & =-\frac{\lambda_{i}}{\pi \alpha^{\prime}} \frac{c_{i}-\alpha \omega_{i}}{\alpha^{2}-\beta^{2}}\left(I_{i}-I_{i}^{\prime}\right),  \tag{2.63}\\
\Delta \phi_{i} & =\frac{2}{\alpha^{2}-\beta^{2}}\left[\left(c_{i}-\alpha \omega_{i}\right) I_{i}^{\prime}+\left(\alpha \omega_{i}+\beta \nu_{i}\right) I_{i}\right], \tag{2.64}
\end{align*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{aligned}
& I_{1}=\int_{\theta_{1, \text { min }}}^{\pi / 2} d \theta_{1} \frac{1}{\theta_{1}^{\prime}}=\frac{\pi}{2 A_{1}} \\
& I_{1}^{\prime}=\int_{\theta_{1, \text { min }}}^{\pi / 2} d \theta_{1} \frac{1}{\theta_{1}^{\prime} \sin ^{2} \theta_{1}}=\frac{\pi}{2 B_{1}}
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{align*}
& I_{2}=r \int_{\theta_{2, \text { min }}}^{\pi / 2} d \theta_{2} \frac{1}{\theta_{2}^{\prime}}=\frac{r \pi}{2 A_{2}}, \\
& I_{2}^{\prime}=r \int_{\theta_{2, \text { min }}}^{\pi / 2} d \theta_{2} \frac{1}{\theta_{2}^{\prime} \sin ^{2} \theta_{2}}=\frac{r \pi}{2 B_{2}}, \tag{2.65}
\end{align*}
$$

with $B_{i}^{2}=\left(\alpha \omega_{i}-c_{i}\right)^{2}$. Then the relation (2.31) $\kappa^{2}-v_{r}^{2}-v_{y}^{2}=\frac{1}{\beta}\left(-\nu_{1} C_{1}-\nu_{2} C_{2}\right)$ can be rewritten in terms of charges

$$
\begin{align*}
& P_{t}^{2}-P_{r}^{2}-P_{y}^{2}=\sum_{i=1}^{2} \frac{1}{\lambda_{i}}\left[P_{\phi_{i}}^{2}+\frac{\beta^{4}-\alpha^{4}}{2 \alpha^{2} \beta^{2}} P_{\phi_{i}}^{2}-\frac{2 \beta}{\alpha} P_{\phi_{i}}\left(P_{\psi_{i}}-T_{i} \Delta \phi_{i}\right)\right. \\
&\left.+\left(P_{\psi_{i}}-T_{i} \Delta \phi_{i}\right)^{2}\right] \\
&=\sum_{i=1}^{2} \frac{1}{\lambda_{i}} {\left[\left(1-\frac{\alpha^{4}+\beta^{4}}{2 \alpha^{2} \beta^{2}}\right) P_{\phi_{i}}^{2}+\left(\frac{\beta}{\alpha} P_{\phi_{i}}+T_{i} \Delta \phi_{i}-P_{\psi_{i}}\right)^{2}\right], } \tag{2.66}
\end{align*}
$$

where $T_{i}=\frac{\lambda_{i}}{2 \pi \alpha^{\prime}}$ with $i=1,2$. This corresponds to the dispersion relation for the string soliton on the I-brane background when the it moves simultaneously on both the spheres, and this does not depend on the previous parameterization, $\Gamma_{i}$.

## 3. Discussion

In this paper we have studied the solutions for rotating strings in the background generated by a $1+1$ dimensional intersection of two stacks of five branes in type IIB string theory. We have solved the motion of rotating string in this background and have analyzed the dispersion relation among various conserved charges. We have taken advantage of the fact there exists a parameter space where the motion in the two spheres effectively decoupled, and one could study the single magnon like solution in this background. Knowing the results of the present paper, it would be tempting to study the corresponding states in the dual theory exactly in case of the $\operatorname{AdS}_{5} \times S^{5}$ background. It would certainly be interesting to check whether these magnon solutions are BPS from the bulk theory view point, which will give us clue about the boundary operators. We wish to come back to this issue in future.
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[^0]:    ${ }^{1}$ See 29] for related background and for the gauge theory results.

[^1]:    ${ }^{2}$ For some relevant works, see $30-39$
    ${ }^{3}$ For review, see 40-42.

[^2]:    ${ }^{4}$ Dynamics of D1-brane probe in given background was studied in 39,38$]$.

